UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,658	01/24/2006	Masahiko Kubota	03500.102480.	5506
	7590	EXAMINER		
1290 Avenue of the Americas NEW YORK, NY 10104-3800			DAHIMENE, MAHMOUD	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1713		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/07/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/565,658	KUBOTA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
- Examinor	Aitoille	

3	Examiner	Artonic					
	MAHMOUD DAHIMENE	1713					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 April 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Continued</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request				
periods:  a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee							
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	nally set in the final Offic	ce action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL		en 1 1.1 1					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further con</li> </ol>			cause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	L below),					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ومان فالمعارب والمعارب	ot concelling the				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).							
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3, 5-10, 12</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a No	otice of Appeal will no	t be entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			•				
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 013. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Nadine G Norton/	/M. D./						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792	Examiner, Art Unit 1713						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The newly added limitations of "forming, on the substrate where the solid layer is formed, a coating resin layer containing a cationically polymerizable resin, a basic material having a pair of nonshared electrons and a solvent containing xylene and methyl isobutyl ketone for coating the solid layer;", as recited in amended claim 1, raise new issue that would require further consideration because adding a solvent containing xylene and methyl isobutyl ketone change the scope of claim 1 as well as the dependent claims wheras, in the previous version of claim 1, xylene and methyl isobutyl ketone was part of the basic material having a pair of non-shared electrons.

The arguments, filed on 4/29/10, have been considered but they are unpersuasive because they are based on the newly added limitations which raise new issue that would require further consideration.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mahmoud Dahimene whose telephone number is (571) 272-2410. The examiner can normally be reached on week days from 8:00 AM. to 5:00 PM..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)..